

Kenyon College

Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange

Four Valleys Archive

Anthropology

8-26-1996

PVN-CAT-128-V-059-001-FWO

Ellen Bell

Kenyon College

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras>



Part of the [Archaeological Anthropology Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bell, Ellen, "PVN-CAT-128-V-059-001-FWO" (1996). *Four Valleys Archive*. Paper 29621.
<http://digital.kenyon.edu/honduras/29621>

This Catalog Sheet is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. It has been accepted for inclusion in Four Valleys Archive by an authorized administrator of Digital Kenyon: Research, Scholarship, and Creative Exchange. For more information, please contact noltj@kenyon.edu.

PROYECTO VALLE DE NACO
CATALOG FORM

DATE: 26 Aug 96

LOT NUMBER: 128 V/59

SUBSTANCE: Ceramic

OBJECT: Ocarina/whistle mouthpiece

CATALOG #: 128V/59-1 MULTIPLES? DRAWN BY: W/H CATALOGED BY: ZZ

PASTE GROUP: G CENSER FORM CODE: EXCAVATOR:

HGT/LENGTH: 3.2- WIDTH: 4.0- THICKNESS: 0.7- DIAMETER:

VERBAL DESCRIPTION: Whole - ~~fragmentary~~ rectangular mouthpiece from an ocarina or whistle. If an ocarina, it is ~~single~~ - multi-chambered.

Hole is 0.57 cm wide; — cm high; 1.76 cm long. It is ~~centered~~ - off center.

Basal hole is ~~absent~~ - present. It measures — long by — cm wide. OR Can't tell size.

Interior does ~~does not~~ have a bulb; ~~does~~ - does not have a ~~clay curl~~ - clay slab.

Interior shows smoothing - clay layering - finger marks - gouging - striations - wiping - ~~"wet" look~~ - unclear finish.

Firing core is ~~absent~~ - central - interior shifted.

Exterior shows ~~smoothing~~ - wiping - burnishing - irregularities - undulations - roughness - unclear finish.

Drawn - ~~Not drawn~~. Piece is not ~~slightly~~ - moderately - very - extremely eroded.

Slip: ~~yes~~ - no. If yes, describe. Light Orange - entire ext. surface

Paint: yes - ~~no~~. If yes, describe.

Burning: yes - ~~no~~. If yes, describe.

Decoration: yes - ~~no~~. If yes, describe.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Case No. 100-1000000

Page 1 of 1

Plaintiff: [Name]

Defendant: [Name]

Comes now the Plaintiff, [Name], and moves the Court for an order granting the Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.

The Plaintiff moves for summary judgment on the basis that the Defendant has failed to establish a prima facie case of discrimination.

The Defendant has failed to establish a prima facie case of discrimination because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.

The Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees because the Defendant has failed to establish that the Plaintiff was treated differently than other employees.